UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|---|-------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 10/559,816 | 12/08/2005 | Toshifumi Yonai | 2005_1864A | 7387 |
| WENDEROTH, LIND & PONACK, L.L.P. 1030 15th Street, N.W., Suite 400 East Washington, DC 20005-1503 | | | EXAMINER | |
| | | | MAYE, AYUB A | |
| | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| | | | 3742 | |
| | | | | |
| | | | MAIL DATE | DELIVERY MODE |
| | | | 05/21/2009 | PAPER |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

| | Application No. | Applicant(s) | | | |
|---|------------------------------------|-----------------------|--|--|--|
| Office Action Comments | 10/559,816 | YONAI ET AL. | | | |
| Office Action Summary | Examiner | Art Unit | | | |
| | AYUB MAYE | 3742 | | | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply | ears on the cover sheet with the c | orrespondence address | | | |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is especified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | | | | | |
| Status | | | | | |
| 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on | | | | | |
| | -· action is non-final. | | | | |
| <i>,</i> — | , | | | | |
| closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. | | | | | |
| ologod in accordance with the practice and in | x parte gaayle, 1000 G.B. 11, 10 | 0.0.210. | | | |
| Disposition of Claims | | | | | |
| 4) Claim(s) 1-8 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-8 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. | | | | | |
| Application Papers | | | | | |
| 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) ☑ The drawing(s) filed on <u>08 December 2005</u> is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☑ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. | | | | | |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 | | | | | |
| 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. | | | | | |
| Attachment(s) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | | | | | |

Application/Control Number: 10/559,816 Page 2

Art Unit: 3742

DETAILED ACTION

Drawings

Figures 11 and 12 should be designated by a legend such as --Prior Art--because only that which is old is illustrated. See MPEP § 608.02(g). Corrected drawings in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The replacement sheet(s) should be labeled "Replacement Sheet" in the page header (as per 37 CFR 1.84(c)) so as not to obstruct any portion of the drawing figures. If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* **v.** *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:

- 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
- 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
- 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
- 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.

Claims 1-8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Fukatsu (JP-05-32428) in view of Rieger et al (6016324).

Fukatsu teaches method and apparatus of a glass cutting in which a portion to be cut of glass is irradiated with a pulse laser in one stroke of relative movement to form a scribe line (abstract), and then the glass (11 as shown in fig.5) is cut by applying a break force to the scribe line, a laser oscillation (L1 and L2 as shown in fig.5), wherein as the pulse laser, a pulse laser of an ultraviolet range is used (abstract), and that the scribe line is formed to a depth in a range of 1.8 to 6.3% of a thickness of the glass by irradiating the pulse laser while moving the pulse laser (fig.5, a, b, c, and d). However, Fukatsu fails to disclose a total number of pulses at each irradiation portion is in a range of 2,667 to 8,000 pulses, a pulse width of the pulse laser (2) is less than 100 picoseconds, the pulse laser (2) is a third harmonic, a fourth harmonic, or a fifth harmonic of an Nd:YAG laser, Nd:YVO4 laser, or Nd:YLF laser, and a repetition frequency of the pulse laser (2) is 1 MHz or more.

Rieger teaches that a total number of pulses at each irradiation portion is in a range of 2,667 to 8,000 pulses (col.4, lines 10-20), a pulse width of the pulse laser is less than 100 picoseconds (col.1, lines 30-35), the pulse laser is a third harmonic, a fourth harmonic, or a fifth harmonic of an Nd:YAG laser, Nd:YVO4 laser, or Nd:YLF laser (col.2, lines 60-65) (fig.6), and a repetition frequency of the pulse laser is 1 MHz or more (col.3, lines 45-55). It would have been obvious to one ordinary skill in the art modify Fukatsu with number of pulses in seconds and the range of frequency is used as taught by Rieger in order to produce high brightness pulses and since it has been held

Application/Control Number: 10/559,816 Page 4

Art Unit: 3742

that where the general conditions of a claim are disclosed in the prior art, discovering the optimum or workable ranges involves only routine skill in the art. See <u>In re Boesch</u>, 205 USPQ 215 (CCPA 1980).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to AYUB MAYE whose telephone number is (571)270-5037. The examiner can normally be reached on 9-6.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Tu Ba Hoang can be reached on 571-272-4780. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

A.M.

/Ayub Maye/ 04/30/09 Examiner, Art Unit 3742 /TU B HOANG/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3742